

# **Introduction to Biological Monitoring**



# Biological Monitoring and Biological Limit Values (BLVs): The Strategy of the European Union

For several decades occupational standards for chemical compounds in the ambient air at workplaces have been developed as a preventive tool in occupational hygiene and medicine. The early scientific roots date back to Lehmann (1886), who was the first to perform systematic studies on workers exposed to some occupational toxicants. By 1938, occupational exposure standards had been established in Germany for about 100 substances (Henschler 1985). In the United States, a provisional list of 8 compounds was compiled in 1942, and the first official list of Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) was issued in 1946 (Cook 1985).

In 1953, Oettel tried to establish a unified European list of standards, but failed (Henschler 1985). As a consequence, most European countries started to use the American TLV list. In 1958, Germany started to develop its own list of MAK values, which was later used, either as a whole or in part, also by some neighbouring countries (Austria, Switzerland, the Netherlands, Sweden). However, differences in the industrial, social and constitutional structure of European countries prevented the establishment of a unified European list of occupational standards (Henschler 1985).

## Biological Exposure Values – Initial Developments

Progress in analytical chemistry, toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics provided new ways of monitoring toxicants in biological media. The first official reference to biological exposure limits was made in the preface of the 1974 edition of the TLV booklet. It was noted that measurements may be made of substances to which the worker is exposed, based on analysis of blood, urine, hair, nails, body tissues, fluids and exhaled breath, or the amount of metabolites in tissues and fluids (Cook 1985). In Germany, a first set of three biological limit values (lead, toluene, trichloroethylene) was introduced with the MAK list in 1981 (“Biological Tolerance Values”, BAT), and another 6 compounds were added in 1982 (e.g. cadmium, mercury, dichloromethane, halothane, perchloroethylene). More recently, a comprehensive compendium of the strategy of biological monitoring in general was issued (Lehnert and Schaller 2000), and future perspectives were highlighted (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 2000).

The first American Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs) were published by ACGIH in 1984. At that time, a broad international discussion on biological standard setting was initiated, as seen in contributions from Belgium, Denmark, Italy, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland and the USA at an ACGIH/WHO symposium in April 1985 (ACGIH 1985).

The present list of BEI values contains 41 entries (ACGIH 2004), and the German BAT value list has evaluated 78 compounds (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 2004), highlighting the considerable potential of biological monitoring strategies.

## **Development of Occupational Exposure Limits in the European Union**


With the growing integration of the European Union (EU), there was a need to harmonize national workplace standards, backed by the EU policy to remove trade barriers between its member states (Thier and Bolt 2001).

In particular, the Council Directive 80/1107/EEC (European Union 1980), amended by Council Directive 88/642/EEC (European Union 1988), introduced the objective of establishing Occupational Exposure Limits. This Directive considered two types of Occupational Exposure Limits, *Binding Limit Values* (BLVs) and *Indicative Limit Values* (ILVs). The latter were intended to be the more common type of limit, reflecting evaluations based on scientific data.

The first set of ILVs was introduced by Commission Directive 91/322/EEC (European Union 1991); these ILVs for 27 chemicals (or groups of chemicals) were proposed by the Commission and agreed by Member States on the basis of pre-existing national positions. At the same time, the Commission assembled an advisory group of experts in the disciplines of toxicology, epidemiology, occupational medicine, occupational hygiene and analytical chemistry. The major role of this “Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits” (SCOEL, formerly called the “Scientific Expert Group”, SEG) was to examine appropriate scientific documentation, usually in the form of Criteria Documents, on the toxicological and other relevant properties of chemicals and to recommend to the Commission values for substance-specific Occupational Exposure Limits (“OELs”; SCOEL 1998). In 2000, the European Union issued a list of 62 chemical substances with Occupational Exposure Limits.

At that time, it was recognised that biological monitoring—entailing the measurement of substances, metabolites or adducts in biological media, or the measurement of non-adverse biological effects induced by the substance—was an important means of protecting the health of workers. Details of the procedures used in deriving both OELs (analysis of substances in ambient workplace air) and Biological Limit Values (“BLVs”, use of biological media) were communicated (SCOEL 1999). A key compound with respect to general issues of biological monitoring and Biological Limit Values is lead, for which a BLV of 30 µg/100 ml blood has been proposed (SCOEL 2003).

The time sequence of steps implemented by the European Union is shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Time sequence of steps towards an official implementation of biological monitoring by the European Union

## Skin Notation and Consequences for Biological Monitoring

Skin penetrating compounds may have systemic toxic effects which cannot be efficiently prevented on the basis of analytical monitoring of the workplace air alone. Lists of Occupational Exposure Limits include designations of such compounds (“S” for *skin*, “H” for *Haut* or *huid*, etc.). In Germany, for such compounds (marked “H”) the use of biological monitoring strategies has been officially recommended (Anonymous 1996), and an official Technical Guidance Note issued by the Federal Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs describes the legal and organisational framework for biological monitoring (Anonymous 2000).

## **Biological Monitoring – The Strategy of the European Union**

Scientific advances in the biological monitoring of chemical exposures have led to progress in establishing legal frameworks (Bolt 1999).

The European Commission Directive 95/320/EC of July 12th, 1995, which set up the aforementioned Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL), describes the tasks of the Committee as follows [article 2(1) of this Directive]: “The Committee shall in particular give advice on the setting of Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) based on scientific data and, where appropriate, shall propose values which may include:

- the eight-hour time-weighted average (TWA),
- short-term limits/excursion limits (STEL),
- biological limit values”

(European Union 1995).

In 1999, SCOEL published the Methodology for the Derivation of Occupational Exposure Limits. This included a first definition of Biological Limit Values, that was later amended: “Biological monitoring entails the measurement of substances and/or metabolites in biological media, and the measurement of biological effects induced by the substance. Biological Limit Values (BLVs) are reference values for the evaluation of potential health risks in the practice of industrial hygiene. They are established on the basis of currently available scientific data. Exposure concentrations equivalent to the BLV generally do not affect the health of the employee adversely, when they are attained regularly under workplace conditions (8 hrs/day, 5 days/week). In general, OELs and BLVs are based on similar quantities of internal exposure; in this case, the BLV is related to a group means. In cases of a high health impact of individual peak exposures, a BLV may be conceived as a ceiling value for individual persons, dependent on its justification.”

In addition, details of a biological monitoring strategy have been put forward, as outlined below.

## **Approaches to Biological Monitoring**

Biological methods used to assess exposure and/or risks to health are considered to fall into the following categories:

1. Determination of the substance or its metabolite in a biological medium (*biological exposure monitoring*).

Most methods fall into this category, with the medium of choice usually being blood, urine, or occasionally, exhaled breath. The method may either be specific for a particular substance or general for a group of related substances. The level determined may reflect

exposure over widely different time periods, depending on the kinetics of the substance, the medium involved and the time of sampling.

2. Measurement of biological effects (*biological effect monitoring*).

This involves the measurement of parameters of biological response (e.g. serum cholinesterase activity for organophosphates).

An effective means of biological exposure monitoring is the determination of macromolecular adducts of toxicants or their metabolites (e.g. haemoglobin or serum albumin adducts). The determination of haemoglobin adducts provides an integrated measure of the effective internal exposure over a longer period of time, due to the life span of erythrocytes.

## Airborne Limits (OELs) and Biological Limits (BLVs)

BLVs are representative of the levels of determinants which are observed in workers exposed to the respective chemical, exclusively by inhalation, at the level of the respective OELs.

Exceptions are the BLVs for substances for which the OELs serve as protection against non-systemic effects (e.g. irritation or respiratory disorders) or for substances which require biological monitoring due to other routes of absorption, in particular the skin.

BLVs do not indicate a sharp distinction between hazardous and non-hazardous exposures. Due to biological variability, it is possible for an individual's measurement to exceed the BLV without there being an increased health risk. If, however, measurements in specimens obtained from a worker on different occasions persistently exceed the BLV, or if the majority of measurements of specimens obtained from a group of workers at the same workplace exceed the BLV, the cause of the excessive values must be investigated and proper action taken to reduce the exposure.

BLVs for working schedules other than eight hours exposure, five days a week, may be extrapolated on a toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic basis. Attention should be paid to the correct sampling time. In the case of a long half-life of toxicants (e.g. lead), or of biological effect monitoring, the sampling times for biological monitoring are even independent of exposure profiles (as exemplified by Bolt and Rutenfranz 1988).

## Biological Media

The choice of biological medium depends on kinetic factors, the convenience of sample collection and the possibility of sample contamination.

- *Blood*. Since this is the main vehicle for transport and distribution, most systematically active substances and their metabolites can be found in blood. The

medium is useful for inorganic chemicals and for organic chemicals which are poorly metabolized and have a sufficiently long half-life.

- *Urine*. Urine collection is easier, less invasive and more readily accepted by workers. It is usually suitable for water-soluble metabolites of organic substances and for some inorganic substances.

The concentration of a substance in urine usually reflects the mean plasma level during the period of urine accumulation in the bladder. End of shift samples are appropriate for rapidly excreted substances, such as solvents; 24-hour specimens (although rarely collected) may be more representative in some cases. The concentration of a substance in urine will depend on the rate of urine production, and correction of results on the basis of creatinine concentration or density may be necessary. Contamination during collection can be a source of error.

- *Breath*. Exhaled air analysis may be used to estimate exposure to volatile organic substances (solvents), although it is much less frequently used than blood or urine sampling. The method is non-invasive, but involves a risk of external contamination. Concentrations will vary depending on whether they are measured in “end exhaled” air (alveolar) or in “mixed exhaled” air (normal breathing). The timing of sampling is very critical in determining whether the measurement reflects very recent exposure or exposure during the previous day. Concentrations can also be influenced by a variety of physiological factors.

Whichever medium is chosen, it is important to establish a sampling strategy, based on knowledge of the kinetics of the biological marker in question.

## **Analysis and Interpretation of Results**

Careful attention must be paid to both pre-analytical (sample collection, transport and storage) and analytical methodology to ensure accuracy.

Each aspect of biological monitoring should be conducted within an effective quality assurance (QA) programme. The appropriate specimen must be collected, at the proper time, without contamination or loss, and with use of a suitable container. Donor identification, time of exposure, source of exposure and the sampling time must be recorded. The analytical method used by the laboratory must have the accuracy, sensitivity and specificity needed to produce results consistent with the BLV. Appropriate quality control specimens should be included in the analysis, and the laboratory must follow routine quality control rules. The laboratory should participate in an external quality control programme.

Like any results of laboratory investigations, biomonitoring results can only be evaluated given knowledge of the whole situation. As well as the other medical findings, especially

- the dynamics of pathophysiological processes,
- the short-term effects of exposure-free periods,
- the long term effects of ageing,



- the specific workplace conditions,
  - intensive physical activity and unusual conditions of atmospheric pressure, and
  - any individual background exposures
- must be taken into account.

Like any other clinical laboratory data, biological monitoring data need to be interpreted by a physician.

Some BLVs, i.e. those referring to urinary excretion, are expressed relative to creatinine concentrations. In the first instance this refers to compounds for which the relevant studies are documented only on the basis of urinary creatinine values.

## Summary and Current Situation

- By decision of 12th July, 1995, the European Commission established the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL), with the mandate to give advice on Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) and Biological Limit Values (BLVs).
- SCOEL has proposed a BLV for inorganic lead in blood of 30 µg Pb/100 ml, and there are currently discussions on a number of compounds to be assigned with a BLV.
- A general strategy related to health-based BLVs has been formulated.
- Compounds with a “skin notation” are viewed as priority candidates for a BLV assignment.
- In general, there is a clear political tendency in Europe away from national and towards supranational (EU) regulations in the entire field of occupational safety and health.
- Improvement of the regulations on chemical substances is receiving high political priority and interest, as exemplified by current discussions on the “White Paper” of the European Commission.

## References

- ACGIH (1985) International symposium on occupational exposure limits. *Ann Am Conf Ind Hyg* 12: 1–389
- ACGIH (2004) 2004 TLVs and BEIs, based on the documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Exposure Indices. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 1330 Kemper Meadow Dr., Cincinnati, OH 45240-1643
- Anonymous (1996) TRGS 150 Unmittelbarer Hautkontakt mit Gefahrstoffen. *Bundesarbeitsblatt* 6/1996: 31–33
- Anonymous (2000) TRGS 710 “Biomonitoring”. *Bundesarbeitsblatt* 2/2000: 60–62

- Bolt HM (1999) Biologische Arbeitsstofftoleranzwerte (Biomonitoring). Teil XIV: Entwicklungen in der Europäischen Union. *Arbeitsmed Sozialmed Umweltmed* 34: 519–520
- Bolt HM, Rutenfranz J (1988) The impact of aspects of time and duration of exposure on toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics of workplace chemicals. in: Notten WRF, Herber RFM, Hunter WJ, Monster AC, Zielhuis RL (Eds), *Health surveillance of individual workers exposed to chemical agents*. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health, Suppl*, Springer, Berlin, pp. 113–120
- Cook WA (1985) History of ACGIH TLVs. *Ann Am Conf Ind Hyg* 12: 3–9
- Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (2000) *Biological Monitoring – Heutige und künftige Möglichkeiten in der Arbeits- und Umweltmedizin*, J Angerer (Ed.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
- DFG, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (2004) List of MAK and BAT values. Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Report No. 40. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
- European Union (1980) Council Directive 80/1107/EEC. OJ L 327, 3.12.1980, p. 8
- European Union (1988) Council Directive 88/642/EEC. OJ L 356, 24.12.1988, p. 74
- European Union (1991) Commission Directive 91/322/EEC. OJ L 177, 5.7.1991, p. 22
- European Union (1995) Commission Directive 95/320/EC. OJ L 188, 9.8.1995
- Henschler D (1985) Development of occupational limits in Europe. *Ann Am Conf Ind Hyg* 12: 37–40
- Lehmann KB (1886) Experimentelle Studien über den Einfluß technisch und hygienisch wichtiger Gase und Dämpfe auf den Organismus. *Arch Hyg* 5, 1–226
- Lehnert G, Schaller KH (Eds) (2000) *Biologisches Monitoring in der Arbeitsmedizin. Kompendium von Beiträgen der Mitglieder der Arbeitsgruppe Aufstellung von Grenzwerten im biologischen Material der Senatskommission zur Prüfung gesundheitsschädlicher Arbeitsstoffe der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft*. Gentner Verlag, Stuttgart
- SCOEL (1998) Occupational exposure limits. Recommendations of the Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits to Chemical Agents 1994–1997. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities
- SCOEL (1999) Scientific Committee Group on Occupational Exposure Limits: Methodology for the derivation of occupational exposure limits. Key documentation. EUR 19253; European Commission, DG V/F.5, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities [ISBN 92-828-8106-7]
- SCOEL (2003) Occupational exposure limits. Recommendations of the Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits to Chemical Agents. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities
- Thier R, Bolt HM (2001) European aspects of standard setting in occupational hygiene and medicine. *Rev Environ Health* 16: 81–86

Authors: H.M. Bolt, R. Thier