

## Index

### a

- abiotic resources consumption 214, 220
  - impact indicators 214–215
  - indicator model and characterisation factors 215–220
- acceptable daily intake (ADI) 272
- acidification 208, 254–256
  - characterisation/quantification 257–258
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 256–257
  - potential (AP) 297, 303
  - regionalisation 259–261
- AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation, France) 14
- APME (Association of Plastic Manufacturers in Europe) 130
- aquatic eutrophication 261–262
- Association of Carton Packaging for liquid foods (FKN) 47, 49, 50
- Austrian Ministry of Life 359
- avoided burden approach 104

### b

- basket of benefit method 42
- beverage cartons 138, 140, 141, 149–150
  - comparison with PET bottle (juice, storage) 343–344
- biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) 262, 265, 266
- biotic resources consumption 222–224
- black box method 29
- Boustead Model (UK) 131
- BUWAL (Swiss Federal Office for Environment, Forest and Landscape) 129, 136, 271

### c

- carbon footprint 238–239
- casualties 289–290

- chemical oxygen demand (COD) 203, 262, 265, 266
- Cleaner Production (Elsevier) 131
- climate change 234–235
  - carbon footprint 238–239
  - characterisation 237–238
  - greenhouse effect 235–236
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 236–237
- closed loop recycling 34, 70
  - allocation and recycling 105–107
- Comité Européen de Normalisation (CEN) 14
- comparative analysis 337
- contribution analysis 335, 336
- co-production and allocation
  - approaches 101–102
  - definition 92–93
  - fair allocation 93–94
  - – allocation per mass 94–96
  - – system expansion 96–98
  - proposed solutions 98–101
  - system expansion 102–104, 105
- co-products 33–34, 70
- critical review 46–47, 57
- critical volumes method 183–184
  - criticism 185 186–187
  - interpretation 184–185
- crude oil equivalence factor (ROE) 298–300
- CSA (Canadian Standards Association, Canada) 14
- cumulative energy demand (CED) 8, 38, 39, 87, 182, 298, 301, 345
  - balancing boundaries 79–80, 81
  - definition 77
  - exergy demand 220–222
  - partial amounts 77–79

**d**

- data availability and depth of study 43–44, 55–56
- data collection template 66
- diamond paradox 100
- DIN-NAGUS 1, 12, 16
- disability adjusted lost life years (DALYs) 277, 278, 288
- discernability analysis 337–338
- distance-to-target criterion 198
- dominance analysis 336
- down cycling 113
- Dual System Germany (DSD) 118, 140, 141, 142

**e**

- ecoinvent (CH) 131, 132
- ecological endangering 198
- ECOSOL (European LCI Surfactant Study Group) 130
- ecotoxicity 209
  - chemicals and environment 280–282
  - persistence and distribution inclusion to quantification 283–285
  - protected objects 279, 280
  - quantification without relation to exposure 282–283
- electricity mix 85, 86
- end-of-life (EOL) phase 113
- enthalpy 82
- environmental increments (EIs) 291
- Eurostat 86
- EUSES (European Union System for the Evaluation of Substances) 277
- eutrophication 261
  - aquatic eutrophication 261–262
  - characterisation/quantification 267
  - indicator and characterisation factor 263–267
  - potential (EP) 296–297, 303
  - regionalisation 267–268
  - terrestrial eutrophication 267

**f**

- flow-pulse problem 276
- fossil fuels scarcity 298–300
- fresh water use 224–227
- fuels and biomass 80
- functional unit
  - example 40
  - impairment factors on comparison and negligible added value 40–41

- non-negligible added value procedure 41–42
- reference flow 37–39, 55

**g**

- GaBi ((University of Stuttgart and PE International, DE) 132
- GEMIS (total release model of integrated systems) 130, 131
- generic data sets 45
- geographical system boundary 34, 35, 54
- German Federal Environment Agency (Umweltbundesamt, UBA) 10, 46, 89, 197, 198, 199, 201, 203, 289, 298–299, 311
- goal definition 27–28
- greenhouse effect 208, 211, 235–236, 290, 294
  - and global warming potential (GWP) 294–295, 302

**h**

- hemerobic level approach 227–229
- higher heating value (HHV) 82, 83
- human toxicity
  - harmonised LCIA toxicity model 277, 278–279
  - problem definition 269–270
  - simple weighting using occupational exposure limit and indicative values 270–273
  - supplementary exposure estimation characterisation 273–277
- hydropower 80

**i**

- IMPACT2002+ 269
- impact assessment type 44–45, 56–57
- flammable materials energy content
  - fossil fuels 81
  - infrastructure 84–85
  - quantification 81–84
- input-related impact categories 212–214
  - abiotic resources consumption 214, 220
  - – impact indicators 214–215
  - – indicator model and characterisation factors 215–220
  - biotic resources consumption 222–224
  - cumulative energy and exergy demand 220–222
  - fresh water use 224–227
  - land use 227
  - – advanced concepts 231–233
  - – characterisation using hemerobic level concept 229–231

- – hemerobic level approach 227–229
- Institute for Energy and Environmental Research (IFEU Heidelberg) 47, 347
- Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management (IEAM) 131
- International Energy Agency (IEA) 85
- International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) 8
- International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 17, 18, 131, 135
- ISO 14040 13, 27, 50, 65, 188, 191–192, 200, 201, 207, 209–210, 292, 330, 334, 335, 338–339, 341–342, 343, 351, 352, 361, 365, 369
- ISO 14043 330
- ISO 14044 27, 30, 42, 45, 47, 50, 181, 182, 197, 207, 220, 256, 292, 330, 333, 334, 339, 341, 342, 352, 367, 369
- LCIA mandatory components 187
- – characterisation 191–192
- – classification 190–191
- – impact categories selection 187–190
- LCIA optional elements
- – data quality additional analysis 201
- – grouping 197–200
- – normalisation 192–197
- – weighting 200–201
- ISO 14046 226
- ISO 14048 134
- ISO 14071 343
- j**
- Journal of Industrial Ecology (Wiley) 131
- l**
- land use 227
- advanced concepts 231–233
- characterisation using hemerobic level concept 229–231
- hemerobic level approach 227–229
- life cycle assessment (LCA). *See also* individual entries
- component interpretation illustration using practice example 343
- – comparison based on impact indicator results 343–344
- – comparison based on normalisation results 344
- – completeness, consistency, and data quality 346
- – critical review 351–352
- – differences significance 347–348
- – recommendations 351
- – restrictions 350–351
- – sectoral analysis 344–346
- – sensitivity analyses 348–350
- critical review 340–342
- – outlook 342–343
- definition and limitations 1, 2
- early applications according to ISO 14040 13
- functional unit 3
- history
- – 1980s 8, 9
- – early stages 6–7
- – energy analysis 8
- – environmental policy background 7–8
- – SETAC 9–10
- interpretation phase
- – development and rank 329–330
- – evaluation 333–334
- – ISO 14040 331
- – ISO14044 331–332
- – significant issues identification 332–333
- literature and information 17–18
- operational input–output analysis (gate-to-gate) 5–6
- product life cycle 2–3
- reporting 338–340
- result analysis techniques
- – mathematical methods 335, 336–338
- – non-numerical methods 338
- – scientific background 334–335
- standardisation
- – formation process 14–16
- – status quo 16–17
- structure
- – according to ISO 11–12
- – according to SETAC 10–11
- – valuation 12, 14
- as system analysis 4–5
- life-cycle based sustainability assessment (LCSA) 365
- life cycle impact assessment (LCIA)
- basic principles 181–183
- critical volumes method 183–184
- – criticism 185, 186–187
- – interpretation 184–185
- environmental problem fields 201–202
- – historical list 202–206
- – stressor-effect relationships and indicators 206–212
- impact categories, impact indicators, and characterisation factors 212
- – accidents and radioactivity 289–291
- – input-related impact categories 212–233
- – nuisances by chemical and physical emissions 286–289

- life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) (*contd.*)
    - output-based impact categories (global and regional impacts) 233–268
    - toxicity-related impact categories 268–286
    - phase impact assessment illustration by practical example 291–293
    - characterisation 300–305
    - classification 300
    - grouping 310–311
    - impact categories selection 293–300
    - normalisation 305–310
    - weighting 311
    - structure according to ISO 14040 and 14044
      - mandatory elements 187–192
      - optional elements 187, 192–201
    - life cycle inventory (LCI) 10, 29, 30, 35, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 55
      - allocation 117–118
      - by co-production example 92–104, 105
      - fundamentals 92
      - recycling for open-loop recycling 107–113
      - recycling in closed-loops and reuse 105–107
      - rules definition on process level 153, 156–157
      - rules definition on system level for open-loop recycling 157
      - within waste-LCAs 113–117
    - calculation 158–159
    - input 159–161
    - output 162–170
    - data aggregation and units 134, 135, 136
    - data estimations 132, 133
    - data procurement 119–127
    - preparation and system flow chart refining 118–119
    - data quality and documentation 133–134
    - energy analysis 74–77
      - cumulative energy demand (CED) 77–81
      - electricity supply 85–87
      - inflammable materials energy content 81–85
      - transports 88–91
    - flow charts 69–72
    - generic data 127–129
    - purchasable databases and software systems 131–132
    - reports, publications, and web sites 129–131
    - literature on fundamentals 64–65
    - phase illustration by example 137–138
    - allocation 153, 156–157
    - collection and sorting of used packaging 148–149
    - differentiated system flow chart with reference flows 153
    - distribution 148
    - electricity supply 152–153
    - examined product systems differentiated description 138–143
    - production by materials 146–148
    - production procedures of materials 143–146
    - recovery technologies (recycling) 149–151
    - system modelling 157–158
    - transportation by truck 152
    - transport packaging recycling 151
    - reference values 72–74
    - results presentation 136
    - scientific principles 63–64
    - studies 7
    - unit process as smallest cell
      - balancing 67–68
      - integration into system flow chart 65–67
    - life cycle working time (LCWT) 367
    - life support function (LSF) 232
    - liquid packaging board (LPB) 54
    - lower heating value (LHV) 81, 82, 83
- m**
- Mackay model 276
  - Mass Intensity per Service Unit (MIPS) 136
  - material flow analysis (MFA) 73–74
  - maximum incremental reactivity (MIR) 249, 251–252
  - maximum working site concentration (MAK) 270, 271, 272
  - multi-input processes 156–157
  - multi-output processes 153, 156
- n**
- noise 287–289
  - non-negligible added value
    - impairment factors on comparison 40–41
    - procedure 41–42
  - nuclear energy 80
  - nuisances by chemical and physical emissions 286
    - noise 287–289
    - smell 286–287
- o**
- open loop recycling 34, 70
    - allocation and recycling
    - allocation per equal parts 109–111

- cut-off rule 111–113
  - overall load to system B 113
  - problem definition 107–109
  - allocation rules definition on system level 157
  - Open Source Software 134
  - output-based impact categories (global and regional impacts) 233–234
  - acidification 254–256
  - characterisation/quantification 257–258
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 256–257
  - regionalisation 259–261
  - climate change 234–235
  - carbon footprint 238–239
  - characterisation 237–238
  - greenhouse effect 235–236
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 236–237
  - eutrophication 261
  - aquatic eutrophication 261–262
  - characterisation/quantification 267
  - indicator and characterisation factor 263–267
  - regionalisation 267–268
  - terrestrial eutrophication 267
  - photo oxidants formation (summer smog) 246–248
  - characterisation/quantification 252
  - impact indicator regionalisation 252–253
  - indicators and characterisation factors 249–252
  - stratospheric ozone depletion 240–241
  - causing substances 241
  - characterisation 245–246
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 242–245
  - ozone hole and legal measures 241–242
  - ozone depletion potential (ODP) 193, 243–245
  - ozone hole and legal measures 241–242
- p**
- perturbation analysis 336
  - PET bottle 139–140, 141–142, 143, 147, 150–151
  - comparison with beverage cartons 343–344
  - photochemical ozone creation potential (POCP) 249–251, 252, 253, 295–296, 302
  - photo oxidants formation 246–248, 295–296
  - characterisation/quantification 252
  - impact indicator regionalisation 252–253
  - indicators and characterisation factors 249–252
  - impact indicator regionalisation 252–253
  - indicators and characterisation factors 249–252
  - photovoltaic energy 80
  - potential environmental impact 183
  - practice example and definition of goal and scope illustration 47–48
  - goal definition 48–50
  - scope 50–57
  - ProBas (process orientated base data for environmental management instruments) 130
  - Product Sustainability Assessment (PROSA) 359
  - product systems 28–29, 50–53
  - product tree 28
  - pseudo improvement, by outsourcing 5–6
- r**
- radioactivity 290–291
  - RAINS (regional air pollution information and simulation) model 252, 253, 260
  - relative toxicity scale 271
  - resident equivalents (REQs) 195–197, 305, 308, 309, 310
  - Resource and Environmental Profile Analysis (REPA) 7
  - resource demand 298
  - energy resources 298–300
  - land use 300
- s**
- sectoral analysis. *See* contribution analysis
  - SimaPro (Pré Consultants, NL) 132, 200
  - smell 286–287
  - Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) 1, 9–11, 32, 45, 46, 202, 203, 207, 213, 223, 252, 262, 269, 274, 275, 329, 335, 357, 359, 361, 364, 365
  - Code of Practice 12, 329
  - soil organic carbon (SOC) 232
  - SPINE (Swedish data format) 134
  - SPOLD (Society for the Promotion of LCA Development) 131, 133, 134
  - stratospheric ozone depletion 240–241
  - causing substances 241
  - characterisation 245–246
  - impact indicator and characterisation factors 242–245
  - ozone hole and legal measures 241–242
  - stressor-effect relationship and indicators 206
  - impacts hierarchy 207–209
  - potential versus actual impacts 209–212

- sustainability 357–358
    - dimensions 358–360
    - life cycle assessment options 368–370
    - state of the art of methods
      - – life cycle assessment 361–364
      - – life cycle costing (LCC) 364–366
      - – product-related social life cycle assessment (SCLA) 366–368
    - system boundaries 4, 5, 6, 32
- t**
- technical system boundary
    - cut-off criteria 29, 30, 31, 32, 53
    - demarcation towards system surrounding 32–33, 53–54
      - – co-products 33–34
      - – secondary raw material 34
    - temporal system boundary 55
      - and time horizon 35–36
    - terrestrial eutrophication 267
    - toxicity-related impact categories 268–269, 285–286
      - ecotoxicity
        - – chemicals and environment 280–282
        - – persistence and distribution inclusion to quantification 283–285
        - – protected objects 279, 280
        - – quantification without relation to exposure 282–283
      - human toxicity
        - – harmonised LCIA toxicity model 277, 278–279
      - – problem definition 269–270
      - – simple weighting using occupational exposure limit and indicative values 270–273
      - – supplementary exposure estimation characterisation 273–277
    - transportation processes for distribution 157
    - Transport Emission Model (TREMOT) 90
    - trippage rate (TR) 105–106

**u**

    - Umberto (Ifu, DE) 132
    - uncertainty analysis 336–337
    - Union for the Coordination of Transmission of Electricity (UCTE) 85
    - unit-world-box model 276
    - USES Dutch model 276–277
    - USEtox model 278, 279, 284–285

**v**

    - valuation (weighting), assumptions and value 45–46

**w**

    - waste disposal
      - options, comparison 116–117
      - of product, modelling 114–116
    - wind power 80
    - World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) 238
    - World Resources Institute (WRI) 238